1	Clifford A. Chanler, State Bar No. 135534						
2	CHANLER LAW GROUP 71 Elm Street, Suite 8						
3	New Canaan, CT 06840						
4	Telephone: (203) 966-9911 Facsimile (203) 801-5222						
5	Laralei S. Paras, State Bar No. 203319 PARAS LAW GROUP						
6	2560 Ninth Street Parker Plaza, Suite 214						
7	Berkeley, CA 94710						
8	Telephone: (510) 848-8880 Facsimile: (510) 848-8118						
9	Attorneys for Plaintiff Russell Brimer						
10							
11	Jeffrey B. Marguiles, State Bar No. FULBRIGHT & JAWORSKI L.L.P.						
12	865 S. Figueroa Street, 29th Floor Los Angeles, California 90017						
13	Telephone: (213) 892-9286 Facsimile: (213) 680-4518						
14	Attorneys for Defendants Popular Imports, Inc.						
15							
16	Popular Creations Gift Link, Inc.						
17	CURERION COURT OF T						
18	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA						
19	COUNTY OF MARIN						
20	UNLIMITED	JURISDICTION	ON				
21							
22	RUSSELL BRIMER,	Case No.	CV-045304				
23	Plaintiff,	STIPHI AT	TION AND [PROPOSED]				
24	v.	ORDER R	E:CONSENT JUDGMENT				
25	POPULAR IMPORT, INC.; POPULAR CREATIONS; GIFT LINK, INC.;	:					
26	ALBERTSON'S, INC.; and DOES 1 through 150,						
27	Defendants.						
28		1					
	STIPULATION AND		ORDER				
	RE: CONSENT JUDGMENT MARIN SUPERIOR COURT CASE NO. CV-045304						

12.

1. INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 Plaintiff and Settling Defendants. This Consent Judgment is entered into by and between plaintiff Russell Brimer (hereafter "Brimer" or "Plaintiff") and Popular Import, Inc., Popular Creations and Gift Link, Inc. (hereafter collectively referred to as "Defendants"), with Plaintiff and Defendants collectively referred to as the "Parties" and Brimer and Defendants each being a "Party."
- 1.2 **Plaintiff.** Brimer is an individual residing in Northern California who seeks to promote awareness of exposures to toxic chemicals and improve human health by reducing or eliminating hazardous substances contained in consumer and industrial products.
- 1.3 General Allegations. Plaintiff alleges that Defendants have manufactured, distributed and/or sold (without warnings) in the State of California certain glass and metal votive candle holders which contain lead and/or lead compounds, substances which are listed pursuant to the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, California Health & Safety Code §§ 25249.5 et seq. , also known as Proposition 65, to cause birth defects and other reproductive harm. Lead and lead compounds shall be referred to herein as the "Listed Chemicals."
- 1.4 **Product Descriptions**. The products that are covered by this Consent Judgment are defined as follows: glass and metal votive candle holders manufactured, sold and/or distributed by Defendants, limited to the specific products listed on Exhibit A. Such products collectively are referred to herein as the "Products."
- 1.5 Notices of Violation. Beginning on September 2, 2004, Brimer served

 Defendants and various public enforcement agencies with documents, entitled "60-Day Notice of Violation" ("Notice") that provided Defendants and such public enforcers with notice that Defendants were in violation of Health & Safety Code § 25249.6 for failing to warn purchasers that certain products that they sold expose users in California to lead and/or lead compounds.
- 1.6 Complaint. On December 6, 2004, Brimer, in the interest of the general public in California, filed a complaint (hereafter referred to as the "Complaint" or the "Action") in the

Unless otherwise noted, all statutory and regulatory references are to California law.

Superior Court for the County of Marin against Defendants, alleging violations of Health & Safety Code §25249.6 based on the alleged exposures to the Listed Chemicals contained in certain products sold by Defendants.

- 1.7 **No Admission**. Defendants deny the material factual and legal allegations contained in Plaintiff's Notice and Complaint, and maintain that all products that they have manufactured, sold, and/or distributed in California, including the Products, have been and are in compliance with all laws. Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall be construed as an admission by Defendants of any fact, finding, issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with this Agreement constitute or be construed as an admission by Defendants of any fact, finding, conclusion, issue of law or violation of law. However, this section shall not diminish or otherwise affect the obligations, responsibilities and duties of Defendants under this Consent Judgment.
- 1.8 Consent to Jurisdiction. For purposes of this Consent Judgment only, the Parties stipulate that this Court has jurisdiction over the allegations of violations contained in the Complaint and personal jurisdiction over Defendants as to the acts alleged in the Complaint, that venue is proper in the County of Marin, and that this Court has jurisdiction to enter this Consent Judgment and to enforce the provisions thereof.
- 1.9 **Effective Date**. For purposes of this Consent Judgment, "Effective Date" shall mean November 10, 2005.

2. INJUNCTIVE RELIEF: WARNINGS AND REFORMULATION

2.1 Warning Obligations for Products

- (a) Required Warnings. After the Effective Date, Defendants shall not transmit to any entity to sell or otherwise offer for sale in California any Products containing the Listed Chemicals, unless warnings are given in accordance with one or more provisions in subsection 2.2 below.
- (b) Exceptions. The warning requirement set forth in subsections 2.1(a) and2.2 below shall not apply to Reformulated Products as defined in Section 2.4 below.

2.2 Clear and Reasonable Warnings

(a) **Product Labeling**. A warning may be given by affixing the following language to the labeling or other packaging for the Product by Defendants, their agents, the manufacturer, importer, distributor or retailer of the Product that states:

WARNING: The materials used in this product contain lead a chemical known to the State of California to cause birth defects or other reproductive harm.

or

WARNING: The materials used in these products contain lead a chemical known to the State of California to cause birth defects or other reproductive harm. Please wash hands thoroughly after handling.²

Warnings issued for Products pursuant to this subsection shall be prominently placed with such conspicuousness as compared with other words, statements, designs, or devices as to render them likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual under customary conditions prior to purchase. For purposes of this subsection, a warning placed on the bottom of the Product or the Product's packaging is deemed not to be an adequate warning. Any changes to the language or format of the warning required by this subsection shall only be made following: (1) approval from the California Attorney General's Office, provided that written notice of at least fifteen (15) days is given to Plaintiff for the opportunity to comment; or (2) Court approval.

- (b) **Point-of-Sale Warnings**. Defendants may also satisfy their warning obligations by arranging for the posting of signs at retail outlets in the State of California at which the Products are sold, in accordance with the terms specified in subsections 2.2(b)(ii), 2.2(b)(ii) and 2.2(b)(iii).
- (i) Point of Sale warnings shall be provided through one or more signs posted at each point of sale or display of the Products that state:

² This warning is to be used only when the Products are sold as a set.

WARNING: The materials used in this product contain lead a chemical known to the State of California to cause birth defects or other reproductive harm.

When more than one Product is sold in proximity to other items that do <u>not</u> require a warning (e.g., Reformulated Products as defined in Section 2.4 of this Consent Judgment) the following warning statement must be used:

WARNING: The materials used in the following glass and metal products contain lead, a chemical known to the State of California to cause birth defects or other reproductive harm:

[List Each Product by Brand Name and Description]

shall be prominently placed with such conspicuousness as compared with other words, statements, designs, or devices as to render it likely to be read and understood by an ordinary individual under customary conditions prior to purchase and shall be placed or written in a manner such that the consumer understands to which *specific* Products the warnings apply so as to minimize, if not eliminate, the chances that an over-warning situation will arise. Any changes to the language or format of the warning required for Products by this subsection shall only be made following: (1) approval from the California Attorney General's Office, provided that written notice of at least fifteen (15) days is given to Plaintiff for the opportunity to comment; or (2) Court approval.

(iii) If Defendants intend to utilize point of sale warnings to comply with this Consent Judgment, they must provide notice as required by this Consent Judgment to each retailer to whom Defendants ship the Products for sale in California and obtain the written consent of such retailer that it will comply with the warning requirements contained in this agreement before shipping the Products. Such notice shall include any required warning materials (including, as appropriate, signs and/or stickers). If Defendants have obtained the written consent of a retailer to provide the warnings required by this Consent Judgment,

Defendants shall not be found to have violated this Consent Judgment if they have complied with the terms of this Consent Judgment and have proof that they transmitted the requisite warnings and received the retailer's written consent in the manner provided herein.

2.3 Mail Order and Internet Sales. Subject to Section 2.4, after November 10, 2005, Defendants shall not sell or distribute the Products by mail order catalog or the Internet to California residents, unless warnings are provided as set forth below.

For the Products that require a warning pursuant to this Consent Judgment that are sold by Defendants by mail order or from the Internet to California residents, a warning containing the language in subsection 2.2(a) shall be included, in the mail order catalog (if any) or on the website (if any) pursuant to subsections 2.3(a) or 2.3(b). Any warnings given in the mail order catalogs or on the website shall identify the *specific* Products to which the warnings apply so as to minimize, if not eliminate, the chances that an over-warning situation will arise. If Defendants elect to provide warnings in the mail order catalog, then such warnings (at a location designated in subsection 2.3(a)) shall be included in any new galley prints of such catalogs sent to the printer after November 10, 2005, for all first, subsequent or additional printings.

- (a) Mail Order Catalog. The second warning message in subsection 2.2(b) shall be stated on the inside front cover of any catalog. In addition, the first warning message in subsection 2.2(b) shall be placed either: (a) on the same page as any order form for the Product; or (b) on the same page as the price of the Product, in the same type size as the surrounding, non-heading text.
- (b) Internet Web Sites. The first warning message in subsection 2.2(a) shall be displayed either: (a) on the same web page on which the Products are displayed; (b) on the same web page as any order form for the Products; (c) on the same web page as the price for the Products; or (d) on one or more web pages displayed to a purchaser over the Internet or via electronic mail during the checkout and order confirmation process prior to the sale of the Products.

4.

^

REIMBURSEMENT OF FEES AND COSTS

4.1 The Parties acknowledge that Plaintiff and his counsel offered to resolve this dispute without reaching terms on the amount of fees and costs to be reimbursed to them, thereby leaving this fee issue to be resolved after the material terms of the agreement had been settled. Defendants then expressed a desire to resolve the fee and cost issue shortly after the other settlement terms had been finalized. The Parties then attempted to (and did) reach an accord on the compensation due to Plaintiff and his counsel under the private attorney general doctrine codified at Code of Civil Procedure §1021.5 for all work performed through the Effective Date of the Agreement. Under the private attorney general doctrine codified at Code of Civil Procedure §1021.5, Defendants shall reimburse Plaintiff and his counsel for fees and costs, incurred as a result of investigating, bringing this matter to Defendants' attention, litigating and negotiating a settlement in the public interest. Defendants shall pay Plaintiff and his counsel \$28,500 for all attorneys' fees, expert and investigation fees, and litigation costs. The payment shall be made payable to the "Chanler Law Group" and shall be delivered to Plaintiff's counsel on or before November 14, 2005, at the following address:

CHANLER LAW GROUP Attn: Clifford A. Chanler 71 Elm Street, Suite 8 New Canaan, CT 06840

Except as specifically provided in this Consent Judgment, Defendants shall have no further obligation with regard to reimbursement of Plaintiff's attorney's fees and costs with regard to the Products covered in this Action.

5. RELEASE OF ALL CLAIMS

Plaintiff's Release of Defendants. In further consideration of the promises and agreements herein contained, and for the payments to be made pursuant to sections 3 and 4, Plaintiff, on behalf of himself, his past and current agents, representatives, attorneys, successors and/or assignees, and in the interest of the general public, hereby waives all rights to institute or participate in, directly or indirectly, any form of legal action and release all claims, including,

without limitation, all actions, causes of action, in law or in equity, suits, liabilities, demands, obligations, damages, costs, fines, penalties, losses or expenses (including, but not limited to, investigation fees, expert fees and attorneys' fees) of any nature whatsoever, whether known or unknown, fixed or contingent (collectively "Claims"), against Defendants and each of its distributors, wholesalers, licensors, licensees, auctioneers, retailers (including Albertsons, Inc.), dealers, customers, owners, purchasers, users, parent companies, corporate affiliates, subsidiaries and their respective officers, directors, attorneys, representatives, shareholders, agents, and employees (collectively, "Defendants' Releasees") arising under Proposition 65 related to Defendants' or Defendants' Releasees' alleged failure to warn about exposures to or identification of Listed Chemicals contained in the Products sold or distributed by Defendants.

The Parties further agree and acknowledge that this Consent Judgment is a full, final, and binding resolution of any violation of Proposition 65 that have been or could have been asserted in the Complaint against Defendants for their alleged failure to provide clear and reasonable warnings of exposure to or identification of Listed Chemicals in the Products sold by Defendants.

In addition, Plaintiff, on behalf of himself, his attorneys, and their agents, waives all rights to institute or participate in, directly or indirectly, any form of legal action and releases all Claims against Defendants' Releasees arising under Proposition 65 related to each of Defendants' Releasees' alleged failures to warn about exposures to or identification of Listed Chemicals contained in the Products, and for all actions or statements made by Defendants or their attorneys or representatives, in the course of responding to alleged violations of Proposition 65 by Defendants. Provided however, Plaintiff shall remain free to institute any form of legal action to enforce the provisions of this Consent Judgment.

It is specifically understood and agreed that the Parties intend that Defendants' compliance with the terms of this Consent Judgment resolves all issues and liability, now and in the future (so long as Defendants comply with the terms of the Consent Judgment) concerning Defendants and Defendants' Releasees' compliance with the requirements of Proposition 65 as to the Listed Chemicals in the Products sold by Defendants.

Additionally, the Parities expressly agree that nothing in this Section, 5.1, shall be construed to provide a release of liability: (a) to suppliers of glass and metal votive candle holders other than Popular Import, Inc., Popular Creations and Gift Link, Inc.; or (b) to Albertsons, Inc. for the sale of glass and metal votive candle holders other than the Products supplied to Albertsons, Inc. by Popular Import, Inc., Popular Creations and Gift Link, Inc. Notwithstanding the foregoing, Plaintiff agrees that he will dismiss Albertsons, Inc. without prejudice within thirty (30) days of the Court's approval under Section 6, provided that Albertsons, Inc. signs a declaration under penalty of perjury that it did not sell glass and metal votive candle holders other than the Products supplied to Albertsons, Inc. by Popular Import, Inc., Popular Creations and Gift Link, Inc. during the period running from September 2, 2003 to September 2, 2004.

5.2 **Defendants' Release of Plaintiff**. Defendants and Defendants' Releasees waive all rights to institute any form of legal action against Plaintiff, or his attorneys or representatives, for all actions taken or statements made by Plaintiff and his attorneys or representatives, in the course of seeking enforcement of Proposition 65 in this Action.

6. COURT APPROVAL

This Consent Judgment is not effective until it is approved and entered by the Court and shall be null and void if, for any reason, it is not approved and entered by the Court within one year after it has been fully executed by all Parties, in which event any monies that have been provided to Plaintiff or his counsel pursuant to section 3 and/or section 4 above, shall be refunded within fifteen (15) days.

7. SALES DATA

Defendants understand that the sales data that they provided to counsel for Russell Brimer on October 14, 2005, was a material factor upon which Russell Brimer has relied to determine the amount of civil penalties made pursuant to Health & Safety Code § 25249.7(b) in this Agreement. To the best of Defendants' knowledge, the sales data provided by Defendants to

counsel for Russell Brimer is a full, complete, true and accurate reflection of any and all sales of the Products in California during the relevant period.

8. SEVERABILITY

If, subsequent to court approval of this Consent Judgment, any of the provisions of this Consent Judgment are held by a court to be unenforceable, the validity of the enforceable provisions remaining shall not be adversely affected.

9. **ATTORNEYS' FEES**

In the event that a dispute arises with respect to any provision(s) of this Consent Judgment, the prevailing party shall, except as otherwise provided herein, be entitled to recover reasonable and necessary costs and reasonable attorneys' fees incurred from the resolution of such dispute.

10. GOVERNING LAW

The terms of this Consent Judgment shall be governed by the laws of the State of California and apply within the State of California. In the event that Proposition 65 is repealed or is otherwise rendered inapplicable by reason of law generally, or as to the Products specifically, then Defendants shall have no further obligations pursuant to this Consent Judgment with respect to, and to the extent that, those Products are so affected.

11. NOTICES

All correspondence and notices required to be provided pursuant to this Consent Judgment shall be in writing and personally delivered or sent by: (1) first-class, registered, certified mail, return receipt requested or (ii) overnight courier on either Party by the other at the addresses listed below. Either Party, from time to time, may specify a change of address to which all notices and other communications shall be sent.

To Popular Import, Inc., Popular Creations, and Gift Link, Inc.:

Jeffrey Margulies, Esq. FULBRIGHT & JAWORSKI L.L.P. 865 S. Figueroa Street, 29th Floor Los Angeles, California 90017

To Plaintiff:

Clifford A. Chanler, Esq. CHANLER LAW GROUP 71 Elm Street, Suite 8 New Canaan, CT 06840

12. NO ADMISSIONS

Nothing in this Consent Judgment shall constitute or be construed as an admission by Defendants of any fact, finding, conclusion, issue of law, or violation of law, nor shall compliance with this Consent Judgment constitute or be construed as an admission by Defendants of any fact, finding, conclusion, issue of issue of law, or violation of law, such being specifically denied by Defendants. Defendants reserve all of their rights and defenses with regard to any claim by any party under Proposition 65 or otherwise. However, this section shall not diminish or otherwise affect Defendants' obligations, responsibilities and duties under this Consent Judgment.

13. COUNTERPARTS; FACSIMILE SIGNATURES

This Consent Judgment may be executed in counterparts and by facsimile, each of which shall be deemed an original, and all of which, when taken together, shall constitute one and the same document.

14. COMPLIANCE WITH HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 25249.7(F)

Plaintiff agrees to comply with the reporting form requirements referenced in Health & Safety Code §25249.7(f). Pursuant to regulations promulgated under that section, Plaintiff shall present this Consent Judgment to the California Attorney General's Office within five (5) days after receiving all of the necessary signatures. A noticed motion to enter the Consent Judgment will then be served on the Attorney General's Office at least forty-five (45) days prior to the date a hearing is scheduled on such motion in the Superior Court for the County of Marin unless the Court allows a shorter period of time.

15. ADDITIONAL POST EXECUTION ACTIVITIES

The Parties shall mutually employ their best efforts to support the entry of this Agreement as a Consent Judgment and obtain approval of the Consent Judgment by the Court in a timely

manner. The Parties acknowledge that, pursuant to Health & Safety Code §25249.7, a noticed motion is required to obtain judicial approval of this Consent Judgment. Accordingly, the Parties agree to file a Joint Motion to Approve the Agreement ("Joint Motion"), the first draft of which Defendant Popular Imports, Inc.'s counsel shall prepare, within a reasonable period of time after the Execution Date (i.e., not to exceed thirty (30) days unless otherwise agreed to by the Parties' counsel based on unanticipated circumstances). Plaintiff's counsel shall prepare a declaration in support of the Joint Motion which shall, inter alia, set forth support for the fees and costs to be reimbursed pursuant to Section 4. Defendants shall have no additional responsibility to Plaintiff's counsel pursuant to C.C.P. §1021.5 or otherwise with regard to reimbursement of any fees and costs incurred with respect to the preparation and filing of the Joint Motion and its supporting declaration or with regard to Plaintiff's counsel appearing for a hearing or related proceedings thereon.

16. MODIFICATION

This Consent Judgment may be modified only by: (1) written agreement of the Parties and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court thereon, or (2) motion of any Party as provided by law and upon entry of a modified Consent Judgment by the Court. The Attorney General shall be served with notice of any proposed modification to this Consent Judgment at least fifteen (15) days in advance of its consideration by the Court.

AUTHORIZATION 17.

The undersigned are authorized to execute this Consent Judgment on behalf of their respective Parties and have read, understood and agree to all of the terms and conditions of this Consent Judgment.

1.	AGREED TO.				
2	AGREED TO:	AGREED TO:			
3	Date: // - // - 0 5				
4	By:	By:			
5	Plaintiff Russell Brimer	Defendant Popular Import, Inc.			
6	Kussell 15	Date:			
7		By: Defendant Popular Creations			
8		Date:			
9		By:			
10		Defendant Gift Link, Inc.			
11	APPROVED AS TO FORM:	APPROVED AS TO FORM:			
12	Date: Nov 16, 2005	Descri			
13	CHANLER LAW GROUP	Date: FULBRIGHT & JAWORSKI LLP			
14	By: Charler Ch.	Ву:			
15	Attorneys for Plaintiff	Jeffrey B. Margulies Attorneys for Defendants			
16	RUSSELL BRIMER	POPULAR IMPORT, INC. POPULAR CREATIONS			
17		GIFT LINK, INC.			
18					
19	IT IS SO ORDERED.	•			
20	Due				
21	Date:	HIDAE OF THE BUILDING			
22		JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT			
23					
24					
25		•			
26					
27					
28					
	Cand it America	14			
STIPULATION AND (PROPOSED) ORDER RE: CONSENT JUDGMENT MARIN SUPERIOR COURT CASE NO. CV-045304					

11/16/2005	09:43 2038015222	HIRST & CHANLER	PAGE	02/03				
1	A CREED TO							
2	AGREED TO:	AGREED TO:						
3	Date:	Date: 11/11/25						
4	By: Plaintiff Russell Brimer	By: 5 -5 - Defendant Popular Import, Inc.						
5		/ 1						
6		Date: 11/11/35 By:	-					
7	•	Defendant Popular Creations						
8		Date: 11/11/02 By: Sware						
9		Defendant Gift Link, Inc.						
10	APPROVED AS TO FORM:	APPROVED AS TO FORM:						
11	1010td4.	AFFROVED AS TO FORM:						
12	Date: CHANLER LAW GROUP	Date: Dovember 7, 2005 FULBRIGHT & JAWORSKILLP	<u>-</u>					
13	By:	By:						
14	Clifford A. Chanler Attorneys for Plaintiff	Jeffrey B. Margnies Rachel Stor Attorneys for Defendants	nger					
15	RUSSELL BRIMER	POPULAR IMPORT, INC.						
16		POPULAR CREATIONS GIFT LINK, INC.						
17								
18								
19	IT IS SO ORDERED.							
20	5 .							
21	Date:	JUDGE OF THE SUPER	IOR COL	г т				
22			1011 COO.	IX I				
23								
24								
25								
26								
27								
28		14						
	STIPULATION AND (PROPOSED) ORDER RE: CONSENT JUDGMENT MARIN SUPERIOR COURT CASE NO. CV-045304							
•								

Exhibit A 1. Stained Glass Votive Candle Holder (#7 50966 33459 5); 2. 3.5" H. Stained Glass Dragonfly Candle Holders (S-1011); 3. 5" H. Stained Glass Butterfly Candle Holder (S-1012); 4. 4,25" H. Flower Stained Glass Candle Holders (SCA07003); 5. 6" H. Bird & Butterfly Stained Glass Candle Holder (S-1007); and 6. 6" H. Stained Glass Butterfly T-Light Holders (S-1010)